Reliability Data for "Old" Self-Report Instrument Used before 2012

Results

- 1. The self-report/ leaver instrument, used and reported prior to the advent of the Common Metrics *Exit Survey*, was reliable, in the sense that its scales were moderately internally consistent.
- 2. We take this as evidence that the reliability and validity of instruments used in the school were (a) assessed, and (b) that use of the instruments were defensible. Note that the dates of these reports fell between the last accreditation visit and the advent of the *Common Metrics Completer* instrument.

Background/ Context

As can be seen in Table 1, the *Exit Survey* used at the end of student teaching demonstrated internal consistency reliability. Data are laid out in Table 1.

<u>Table 1. Reliability of scales based on INTASC Principles (2007-2009 data).</u>

INTASC 1 Subject Matter, Items 10-14, reliability = .80 The teacher understands the central concepts, tools of inquiry, and structures of discipline(s) he or she teaches and can create learning experiences that make the aspects of subject matter meaningful for students. INTASC 2 Student Learning, Items 15-17, The teacher understands how children learn and develop, and can provide learn opportunities that support their intellectual, social and personal development. Reliability = .81	ese
aspects of subject matter meaningful for students. INTASC 2 Student Learning, Items 15-17, The teacher understands how children learn and develop, and can provide learn opportunities that support their intellectual, social and personal development.	
INTASC 2 Student Learning, Items 15-17, The teacher understands how children learn and develop, and can provide learn opportunities that support their intellectual, social and personal development.	ing
Learning, Items 15-17, The teacher understands how children learn and develop, and can provide learn opportunities that support their intellectual, social and personal development.	ing
opportunities that support their intellectual, social and personal development.	ing
Poliobility = Q1	
·	
INTASC 3 Diverse	
Students Items 18-21, The teacher understands how students differ in their approaches to learning and	l
creates instructional opportunities that are adapted to diverse learners.	
Reliability = .84	
INTASC 4 Instructional	
Strategies, Items 22,23, The teacher understands and uses a variety of instructional strategies to encour	_
students' development of critical thinking, problem solving, and performance s	kills.
Reliability = .57	
INTASC 5 Learning The teacher uses an understanding of individual and group motivation and beh	avior
Environment, Items 24-28, to create a learning environment that encourages positive social interaction, act	
engagement in learning and self-motivation	
Renability $\equiv .87$	
INTASC 6, Communication, The teacher uses knowledge of effective verbal, nonverbal, and media	
Items 29-34, communication techniques to foster active inquiry, collaboration, and supporting	/e
Reliability = .85 interaction in the classroom.	
INTASC 7 Instructional	
	, tha
Planning, Items 35-40, The teacher plans instruction based upon knowledge of subject matter, students community, and curriculum goals.	, me
Reliability = .87	
INTASC8 Assessment,	
Items 41-48, The teacher understands and uses formal and informal assessment strategies to	
evaluate and ensure the continuous intellectual, social and physical developme	nt of
Reliability = .92 the learner.	

Principle & Reliability	<u>Description</u>
INTASC9 Reflective	The teacher is a reflective practitioner who continually evaluates the effects of
Practice, Items 49-52,	his/her choices and actions on others (students, parents, and other professionals in
	the learning community) and who actively seeks out opportunities to grow
Reliability = .90	professionally.
INTASC10 Collaboration, Items 61-66,	The teacher fosters relationships with school colleagues, parents, and agencies in
·	the larger community to support students' learning and well-being.
Reliability = .87	
TOTAL	This is an actual calculation of the reliability for the total score (Cronbhach's α). It
	is more reliable than any of the sub-scores because (a) internally consistent metrics
$r_{xy} = .92$	increase in reliability as one adds items, and (b) the "old" completer or Self-Report
	instrument turned out to be univariate.
TOTAL	Across scales